### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From the                          |
|-----------------------------------|
| INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY |

| INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY   |  |
|---|--|
| То:   | PCT  |
| Zacco Norway AS   | 101  |
| P.O. Box 765, Sentrum   | WRITTEN OPINION OF THE   |
| N-0106 Oslo   | INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  |
| Norway  |  |
|   | (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> ,1)  |
|   |  |
|   | Date of mailing  |
|   | (day/month/year) 2 7 -05- 2005   |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference   | FOR FURTHER ACTION   |
| E38553 KOH/J  | See paragraph 2 below  |
| International application No. International filing da   | te (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)   |
| PCT/NO 2005/000040 03.02.2005   | 11.02.2004   |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification                               | ication and IPC  |
| C10G 11/18  |  |
| Applicant   |  |
| Ellycrack AS et al  |  |
|   |  |
| 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following i  | tems:  |
| Box No. I Basis of the opinion  |  |
| Box No. II Priority   |  |
|   | and to move the impossing stantant in deposited annihilation                                   |
|   | gard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability                                   |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention   | ^  |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.  applicability; citations and explanation                | 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial as supporting such statement      |
| Box No. VI Certain documents cited  |  |
| Box No. VII Certain defects in the international ap   | plication  |
| Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation  | •  |
| DON NO. VIII COZUMI ODSCI VALIOUS ON INC MICHAELON  | и аррисатон  |
| 2. FURTHER ACTION   |  |
| If a demand for international preliminary examination is ma   | de, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the                             |
| International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") ex   | cept that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an                                   |
| written opinions of this International Searching Authority w  | A has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that fill not be so considered. |
|   | ten opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the                             |
|   | adments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing                            |
| of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 month<br>For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220. | s from the priority date, whichever expires later.   |
| Openion, soo a suit a catalon and   | ·  |
| 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.  |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE  | Authorized officer   |
| Patent- och registreringsverket<br>Box 5055   |  |
| S-102 42 STOCKHOLM  | Fernando Farieta/ELY   |

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

International application No.

PCT/NO 2005/000040

| Во | x No. I  | Basis of this opinion  |
|----|----------|--|
| 1. | which it | ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language,  which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. | claimed: | ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  of material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  |
|    |          | in written format in computer readable form  |
|    | c. time  | of filing/furnishing  contained in the international application as filed.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.   |
| 3. |          | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.  |
| 4. | Addition | al comments:   |
|    |          |  |
|    |          |  |
|    |          |  |
|    |          |  |
|    |          |  |

International application No.
PCT/NO 2005/000040

| Box l | No. II | Priority   |           |
|-------|--------|--|-----------|
| 1.    |        | The following document has not yet been furnished:   |           |
|       |        | copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).   |           |
|       |        | translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).  |           |
|       |        | Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheles established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.  | s been    |
| 2.    |        | This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has be found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indica above is considered to be the relevant date. | en<br>ted |
| 3     | Addi   | itional observations, if necessary:  |           |
| T     | he ;   | priority is considered valid.  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |
|       |        |  |           |

International application No.

PCT/NO 2005/000040

| Box No. III                                     | Non-establishment of opinion with   | th regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |
|---|---|---|
| The question windustrially app                  | whether the claimed invention appear<br>plicable have not been examined in  | ars to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be respect of:   |
| the   | entire international application  |   |
| clai  | ms Nos.   |   |
| because:  |   |   |
| the   | said international application, or thate to the following subject matter w  | e said claims Nos.  Which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):  |
|   |   |   |
| ·   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   | description, claims or drawings (inc<br>so unclear that no meaningful opini | dicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos ion could be formed (specify):   |
| possib PCT an is to the pr lack s a mean is imp | ole processes. Support of the processes claimed. Support, and the a         | es to an extremely large number of port within the meaning of Article 6 within the meaning of Article 5 PCT, for only a very small proportion of In the present case, the claims so application so lacks disclosure, that a over the whole of the claimed scope |
| /<br>   | The define an add define New Y  | 1   |
|   | The claims, or said claims Nos.  by the description that no meaning         |   |
|   | -   | been established for said claims Nos.   |
|   | the nucleotide and/or amino acid s<br>the Administrative Instructions in    | sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of that:   |
|   | the written form  | has not been furnished  |
|   |   | does not comply with the standard   |
|   | the computer readable form  | has not been furnished  |
|   |   | does not comply with the standard   |
|   |   | e and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not ments provided for in the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.   |
|   | See Supplemental Box for further  | details.  |

International application No.

PCT/NO 2005/000040

| Sun | nlem  | ental | Rox  |
|-----|-------|-------|------|
| Juu | OICIU | cutai | LUUA |

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: BOX III

Consequently, the examination has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be supported and disclosed, namely those parts related to the process in the figures 1-3. (None examples have been found).

International application No.
PCT/NO 2005/000040

| 1. Statement                  |        |      |       |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|-------|
| Novelty (N)                   | Claims |      | YES   |
|                               | Claims | 2-12 | NO    |
| Inventive step (IS)           | Claims |      | YES   |
| * ` '                         | Claims | 2-12 | NO NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 2-12 | YES   |
|                               | Claims | 1    | NO NO |

Reference is made to the following documents:

#### D1: WO 0047695

Document D1 comprises a catalytic cracking process, a reactor under the influence of a rotating fluidized bed catalyst and compressed gases and/or steam is injected in order to effect movement of the catalyst bed (See fig. 4).

D2: US5538623

Document D2 relates to an FCC process and apparatus operates with closed reactor cyclones and a catalyst stripper using H2 or recycled stripper vapor for stripping gas (Fig. 1).

#### D3: US5234578

Document D3 comprises a fluidized catalytic cracking process utilizing a high temperature reactor (525 DEG C.) and directly transfers catalyst and hydrocarbons to a series of cyclone separators, the stripping of spent catalyst in a heated stripper zone for the recovery of additional hydrocarbon vapours, and the immediate quenching of a converted hydrocarbon feed upon leaving a cyclone separator raises the octane and product yield in an FCC process (Fig.1).

#### D4: US5348644

Process and apparatus for contacting a hydrocarbon feedstock with hot solid particles in a tubular reactor with a rising fluidized bed is described in document D4 (Fig. 4).

#### D5: US4708785

Heat balance in oil conversion unit can be controlled as a function of Conradson carbon of the feed, water added to the feed, hydrogen in the coke produced, CO2/CO ratio, etc. Preferably, riser outlet temperature can be controlled to less than about 538 DEG C (Fig 1).

International application No.

PCT/NO 2005/000040

#### Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

The problem for heavy feedstock requires to overcome:

- Feed vaporisation High concentration of polar molecules
- Presence of metals. (Description page 4 line 25).

The present claimed invention solves the problem by using two regenerators, mild oxidation of catalyst and temperatures between 450-600 \*C in combination with low partial pressure, atomisation nozzles and a cyclone (See main components, page 10 line 5).

Documents D1 and D4 suggest the variation of the diameter in a riser (fig 4). Document D2 describes FCC processes with the main components as in the claimed invention for removed, cooled and condensed the heavy oils in a temperature of 650\*F (claim 1)in the same manner as document D3 (temp=975\*F). Document D5 suggest a temperature of 538\*C and atomizing nozzle zone (claims 1-16).

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 2-12 are previously known and therefore lacks novelty and inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/NO 2005/000040

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawing or on the question whether the claim are fully supported by the description, are made:

As explained below, some of the features in the processes claimed in 1-12 relate to a method of using the apparatus in the processes rather than clearly defining the processes in terms of its technical features, such features as:

- -Temperature interval (in each step of the process)
- -Pressure parameters.
- -Ratio for gases/solids/catalysts.
- -Diameter variation of riser.
- -Flow velocity.

The intended limitations are therefore not clear from this claim, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT in order to make a proper examination such parameters have been brought from the description (The processes have not been exemplified).

The term "thermodynamic" used in claims 1-12 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).